

Core Deposits: Theory & Practice

PATH 2007

Fred Poorman, Jr., CFA
Managing Principal
The ALMnetwork

who is the ALM Network?

introduction

- An independent consulting firm providing financial institutions with customized ALM services.
- Long-time IPS-Sendero client (20+ years)
- Our consulting network has worked with banks of all sizes ranging from de novos to large regional banks.
 - every bank receives personalized service, with a customized solution based on their unique requirements
- Articles and core deposit products/services forthcoming
 - In “beta” with community and regional banks
- fpoorman@almnetwork.com

core deposit theory



- rates
 - liquidity
 - valuation
 - duration
-
- core deposits = non-maturity deposits

theory: rates

■ Beginner

- Function of short market rates
 - Fed Funds
 - 3 month Treasury
 - 3 month LIBOR
- Model as spread to index

■ Intermediate

- Single/multiple rates
- Lags
- Model as spread/proportion to index

■ Advanced

- Stochastic or Structured Lattice
 - Model in LPS
- Particle physics mathematics
 - Transform optimization model into multi-factor regression model
- Prior PATH presentations

theory: liquidity

- **Beginner**
 - Static balances
 - OTS decay rates
- **Intermediate**
 - Cash flow projections w/ assumed terminal life
- **Advanced**
 - Stochastic
 - Multi-factor behavioral models

theory: valuation

- Beginner
 - Book value
 - OTS model
- Intermediate
 - DCF inside ALM
 - Congruency with rates & liquidity
 - Marketplace results as benchmark
 - Closed-form solutions as secondary benchmark
- Advanced
 - Closed form solutions
 - Stochastic
 - Lots of papers published
 - Jarrow/van Deventer (used in this presentation)
 - O'Brien/FED

theory: valuation example

A financial instrument without cash flows can be priced at a discount to a premium depending on your assumptions

Simple Passbook example

| | |
|--------------------|-------|
| Balance = | \$100 |
| Interest rate = | 4% |
| Interest expense = | \$4 |

Cash flow

| | |
|---|--------------|
| No new balances, no withdrawals | 0 |
| Deposit fees = Servicing cost | 0 |
| Interest expense = | \$4 |
| <u>Interest credited (balances grow 4%)</u> | <u>(\$4)</u> |
| Net CF | 0 |

| | |
|------------|-------|
| PV = | \$100 |
| Premium = | 0 |
| Duration = | 0 |

theory: valuation example

Simple pricing model

Cash flow basic formula =

Cash flow in - cash flow out
Net CF

Present value =

PV =

Net CF, discounted for time and interest rate
 $\text{Net CF} / (1 + \text{rate})^{\text{time}}$

Deposit CF =

Cash flow in - cash flow out

Cash flow in = Deposit fees

Balance increase

(includes interest credited? is this in formulas twice)

Cash flow out = Interest expense

Servicing cost

Balance decrease

Deposits sometimes backwards

Cash flow out - cash flow in

theory: valuation example

| Assumptions | June 1987-2007 | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Variable index (Fed Funds) | 5.00% | 4.84% |
| Perpetual (10 yr swap) | <u>6.00%</u> | 6.79% |
| Deposit rate = | 4.00% | |
| Servicing cost | 1.00% | |
| <u>Deposit fees</u> | <u>-1.00%</u> | |
| Net servicing | 0.00% | |
| Growth rate | 0.00% | |
| Assumed final | perpetual | |

theory: valuation example

Use market
value
overrides in
SVAL

| | | |
|--|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Variable rate, Indexed MM example | 100 | |
| Fed Funds, or generally variable rate = | 5% | |
| 80% of Fed Funds | 4% | 80% |
| Interest Expense = | \$4 | |
| PV of variable rate perpetual = | | Percent of index |
| Fed Funds @ par, so 80% of par | | |
| PV of variable rate perpetual = | \$80 | |
| Premium | \$20 | |
| Duration | | 0 value doesn't change |
| Proof: Cox, Ingersoll, Ross (1980) | | |

theory: valuation example

Can
calculate in
ALM models
with really
long terminal
life
assumption

| | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Fixed rate, Passbook (hard-coded) example | 100 |
| Rate | 4% |
| Interest Expense = | \$4 |
| PV of fixed rate perpetual = | Balance*Rate/Perpetual rate |
| Long rates, 10 year and/or perpetual = | 6% |
| PV | \$66.67 |
| Premium | \$33.33 |
| Duration | = 1/Perpetual rate |
| proof well-known | 16.67 |

theory: valuation example

Value combines variable + fixed rate examples, as above

Looks like fixed rate is better, but what about retention in increasing rate scenarios?

| MMDA, with floor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Regression model of rate, y | = a + bx | | | |
| | = floor + sensitivity*index | | | |
| Fed Funds, or generally variable rate = | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| Floor (fixed) | 0.00% | 0.25% | 1.00% | 4.00% |
| Sensitivity | 80% | 75% | 60% | 0% |
| Sensitivity*index | 4.00% | 3.75% | 3.00% | 0.00% |
| Rate | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| From above | | | | |
| Long rates, 10 year and/or perpetual = | 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% |
| PV floor (rate*bal)/perpetual | \$0.00 | \$4.17 | \$16.67 | \$66.67 |
| PV variable | \$80.00 | \$75.00 | \$60.00 | \$0.00 |
| PV | \$80.00 | \$79.17 | \$76.67 | \$66.67 |
| Premium | \$20.00 | \$20.83 | \$23.33 | \$33.33 |
| | variable rate | | | fixed rate |

theory: valuation example

Looks like fixed rate is better, but what about migration in decreasing rate scenarios?

Regression model of rate, y

$$= a + bx$$

$$= \text{floor} + \text{sensitivity} \cdot \text{index}$$

Fed Funds, or generally variable rate =

Floor (fixed)

Sensitivity

Sensitivity*index

Rate

| | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% |
| 0.00% | 0.25% | 1.00% | 4.00% |
| 80% | 75% | 60% | 0% |
| 1.60% | 1.50% | 1.20% | 0.00% |
| 1.60% | 1.75% | 2.20% | 4.00% |

From above

Long rates, 10 year and/or perpetual =

PV floor (rate*bal)/perpetual

PV variable

PV

| | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 6% | 6% | 6% | 6% |
| \$0.00 | \$4.17 | \$16.67 | \$66.67 |
| \$80.00 | \$75.00 | \$60.00 | \$0.00 |
| \$80.00 | \$79.17 | \$76.67 | \$66.67 |

Premium

| | | | |
|---------------|---------|---------|------------|
| \$20.00 | \$20.83 | \$23.33 | \$33.33 |
| variable rate | | | fixed rate |

hmmm. make sense....

theory: valuation example

Flat yield
curve
scenario...

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Long rates, 10 year and/or perpetual = | 5% | | | |
| Fed Funds | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| Sensitivity | 80% | 75% | 60% | 0% |
| Rate | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Premium | \$20.00 | \$20.00 | \$20.00 | \$20.00 |

theory: valuation example

Inverted
yield curve
scenario...

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Long rates, 10 year and/or perpetual = | 4% | | | |
| Fed Funds | 5% | 5% | 5% | 5% |
| Sensitivity | 80% | 75% | 60% | 0% |
| Rate | 4% | 4% | 4% | 4% |
| Premium | \$20.00 | \$18.75 | \$15.00 | \$0.00 |

theory: valuation example

In the basic industry model, where deposit rates are a function of short rates and valuation is based on long rates, the slope, not level determines value

Premium table

Curve slope
 Positive +1
 Flat
Inverted -1

Sensitivity

| 80% | 75% | 60% | 0% |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| \$20.00 | \$20.83 | \$23.33 | \$33.33 |
| \$20.00 | \$20.00 | \$20.00 | \$20.00 |
| <u>\$20.00</u> | <u>\$18.75</u> | <u>\$15.00</u> | <u>\$0.00</u> |

theory: valuation example

When measuring value creation in a economic capital sense, as in EVA® or SVA, the cost of capital is used (at least for the assigned capital). The cost of capital is also used for project/business segment evaluation.

| | PV of 2% spread | | % of perpetuity | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Long-term rate | Cost of Capital | Long-term rate | Cost of Capital |
| | 6% | 12% | 6% | 12% |
| 1 | 1.89 | 1.79 | 5.7% | 10.7% |
| 5 | 8.42 | 7.21 | 25.3% | 43.3% |
| 10 | 14.72 | 11.30 | 44.2% | 67.8% |
| 17.5 | 21.30 | 14.37 | 63.9% | 86.2% |
| 35 | 29.00 | 16.35 | 87.0% | 98.1% |
| 100 | 33.24 | 16.67 | 99.7% | 100.0% |
| perpetuity | 33.33 | 16.67 | 100.0% | 100.0% |

theory: duration

Duration is a calculation, not an input

Some say core durations = 0

Others say core durations = 17

Both/neither are right

- Simple
 - Ignore
 - Base on average or assumed life
 - 17.5 year average life = 35 year terminal life
- Intermediate
 - Effective duration
 - Scenario-dependent prices
 - Modified duration
 - Based on discounted cash flows
- Advanced
 - Multi-factor regression/econometric approaches
 - Complex stochastic approaches

core deposit practice



- rates
- liquidity
- duration
- valuation
- back-testing

practice: rates

Intermediate and advanced practitioners should back-test their sensitivities

- **Beginner**
 - Model as spread to index
 - This relationship changes as rates change
- **Intermediate**
 - Single/multiple rates, lags
 - Model as spread/proportion to index
 - Relatively good long-term correlations (80%+/-)
 - Paper in Q3/Q4
 - (will be available at www.almnetwork.com after publication)
- **Advanced**
 - Good in theory
 - Present papers, books, conferences
 - Recent book had 3 different stochastic models
 - Rate, liquidity, valuation
 - Noted none were used at his bank

practice: rates

Intermediate and advanced practitioners should back-test their sensitivities

- Back-testing deposit sensitivities
- Great article in Bank ALM, March/April 2007
 - Mark Gim, Washington Trust
- Related question – what are we trying to get right?
 - Is it precision at the model line level?
 - Some shops
 - Or is it directional accuracy and reasonably close at bank level?
 - Model migration/dual betas, or
 - Higher sensitivity for Core in + rate scenarios
 - Both can get you there?

practice: rates

- Compare to competition if price-taker
- Set prices if market leader?
 - What is the market?
 - Local
 - National
 - Internet
 - International/ING
- Maximize earnings and/or value?
 - May have unacceptable runoff if maximize short-term earnings, which destroy value
 - How do banks incent new 0.50% NOW deposits?

practice: rates

- What is the hedge?
 - Replicating portfolio or
 - Partial rate sensitivity

practice: liquidity

- Beginner
 - Don't measure
 - Anecdotal
- Intermediate
 - Ad hoc measurement
 - Current CPR type, future PSA type
 - Does past predict future?
 - 25 yr. old vs. parents & grandparents
- Advanced
 - Periodic measurement & benchmarking
 - Stochastic (enough scenarios to back-test, but...)
 - Multi-factor behavioral models
 - See above comment

practice: valuation

*coolest
bank name
that
completed
a branch
acquisition:*

| Branch deal stats 2000s | Premium (%) | Premium Paid (\$000) | Deposits (\$000) |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------|
| summary 587 | 8.27 | 6,082,539 | 73,552,654 |
| max | 22.91 | 2,144,000 | 13,400,000 |
| min | (0.18) | (475) | 191 |
| median | 6.25 | 1,424 | 20,426 |

includes branch, branch with loans, internet, brokered CDs, and others

Crazy
Woman
Creek
Bancorp

practice: duration

- It is a calculation, not an input
 - Need rates and prices/cash flows

conclusion

■ What matters about Core Deposits in Theory?

| | Rates | Value |
|--|-------|-------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Level of rates? | Yes | No |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Slope of curve? | No | Yes |
| ■ Slope matters in multi-factor models | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Growth/Deposit flows? | No | Yes |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Average life? | No | Yes |

■ What matters about Core Deposits in Practice?

- Short-term & long-term earnings
 - What is the hedge?
- Franchise value
 - Best correlation with community bank stock price in unpublished white paper was deposit franchise

Thank you

- Questions?
- If you think of them later
 - Email: fpoorman@almnetwork.com